IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI
WRIT PETITION NO : 15708 of 2004

Between:

Nandlal Vyas and Sons Plot No.122-C, Mahaboob Mansion Market Yard, Malakpet, Hyderabad -36 a Firm rep. by its Prop Nandlal Vyas S/o. Late Sri Chunnilal Vyas age 58 years, R/o. Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Agricultural Market Committee Hyderabad, Mahaboob Mansion, Malakpet, Hyderabad rep. by its Secretary.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction to the respondent particularly in the nature of writ of mandamus to grant licence to the petitioner under Section 7 read with Section 32 of the A.P. (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Markets Act 1966, as per his application dated 10.10.2003, for carrying on the business of Sale and purchase of notified Agricultural Commodities as Trader/Commission Agent at Plot/Premises No.122 C of Mahaboob Mansion, New Malakpet, Hyderabad.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.D.RANGANATHA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent : MRS.NANDA R. RAO

The Court made the following :

-
-
-

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a direction to the respondent to grant licence to the petitioner under Section 7 read with 32 of the A.P. (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Markets Act, 1966 (for short 'the Act').

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is stated that the petitioner has made an application as long back as on 10.10.2003 before the respondent requesting to grant the licence to carry on business as trader/commission agent in the notified area of Agricultural Market Committee, Hyderabad, in terms of Section 7 read 32 of the Act. However, the same has not been considered so far.

When the matter was taken up on 02-09-2004 Smt.Nanda R.Rao learned standing counsel appearing for the respondent took time for getting instructions. However, nothing has been placed before this Court to show that the petitioner is not entitled to the relief as sought for.

In the circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to consider the application of the petitioner,dated 10.10.2003 and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

_____

24-09-2004

klp

To

The Agricultural Market Committee Hyderabad, Mahaboob Mansion, Malakpet, Hyderabad rep. by its Secretary.

2. 2CD copies